

(3)

N.J. Facilities Which Submitted  
Financial Assurance Only  
(Total - 10)

<u>EPA I.D. No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>
NJD000314674	Onyx Division Millmaster Onyx Group	Jersey City
NJD000314682	Lyndal Chemical Division	Lyndhurst
NJD001660786	Datascope Corp.	Oakland
NJD002165371	Inmont Corp. Hawthorne Plant	Hawthorne
NJD002442549	Curtis-Wright	Fairfield
NJD002444958	Inmont Corporation	Middlesex
NJD065815771	Alcan Ingot & Powders	Union
NJD094951258	A. Gross & Company	Newark
<u>NJD095171930</u>	Colonial Printing Ink Company	East Rutherford
NJD095171948	United States Printing Ink	East Rutherford



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

MAY 26 1983

REGION II  
26 FEDERAL PLAZA  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10278

MAY 26 1983

Mr. George Tyler  
Assistant Commissioner for  
Environmental Management and Control  
New Jersey Department of  
Environmental Protection  
Labor and Industry Building, Room 805  
P.O. Box CN 402  
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Dear Mr. Tyler:

On January 31, 1983, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region II sent 302 warning letters (sample copies enclosed) to owners and operators of hazardous waste facilities which were not in compliance with EPA's financial responsibility regulations. These regulations became effective in July 1982 and required facilities to demonstrate that funds are available for:

- ° meeting their obligations under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) for proper closure and post-closure care of their facilities (i.e., "financial assurance"); and
- ° compensating others for bodily injury or property damage caused by accidents arising from operations of the facilities (i.e., "liability insurance").

The following is to summarize industry's compliance to date (or lack thereof) with the Federal financial responsibility regulations. See the enclosed computer printout for a listing of the facilities in compliance with the Federal regulations. Also enclosed is a listing of the facilities within each non-compliance category.

- ° Number of facilities which have submitted all required documents (including those facilities that have utilized the financial test and corporate guarantee methods of compliance) - 279
- ° Number of facilities which demonstrated financial assurance only - 10

- ° Number of facilities which demonstrated liability insurance only - 28
- ° Number of "non-submitters" (excluding facilities which either closed or requested to be declassified as hazardous waste facilities) - 56

The above numbers indicate that 94 facilities are in violation of the Federal and State financial responsibility requirements. Our concern is whether the State or EPA should proceed with enforcement follow-up activities for these 94 facilities. The State's financial regulations, which have been in effect since October 1981, are even more stringent than the Federal regulations in that they do not provide facilities with the option of using the corporate guarantee or the financial test for demonstrating proof of financial assurance and liability insurance. Two hundred and thirty facilities have utilized these alternative methods (see the enclosed computer printout for a listing of facilities which employed these methods). Now that New Jersey has received Phase I interim authorization, the State is responsible for enforcing financial regulations in lieu of EPA. However, the Phase I Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) does provide that EPA can initiate enforcement actions in cases where the State does not initiate timely and appropriate enforcement actions against violators. Regardless of which Agency takes the lead, enforcement actions must be based on the State's financial regulations (see enclosed EPA guidance on enforcement actions in authorized States).

Please notify me within the next two weeks as to the State's plan of action (including time frames) for conducting follow-up enforcement activities for the 94 facilities identified in the enclosure. (Of course, some of these facilities may have already provided the State with financial documentation pursuant to State regulations and would therefore not be considered enforcement candidates by New Jersey.) My staff and I are ready to provide assistance to New Jersey in implementing this high priority portion of the State's Phase I hazardous waste program. Alternatively, if the State chooses not to take the enforcement lead at this time, EPA is ready to proceed with initiating said enforcement actions and will keep New Jersey informed of its activities.

-3-

Your cooperation on this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Conrad Simon', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Conrad Simon  
Director  
Air & Waste Management Division

Enclosures

cc: Michael DeBonis  
Asst. Director for Planning  
and Resource Recovery, NJDEP (w/o encl.)



N.J. Facilities Which Submitted  
No Financial Instruments  
(total -- 56)

<u>EPA I.D. No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>
NJD000316778	Princeton Biomedix	West Windsor Township
NJD000540062	Jersey Smelting & Refining	Jersey City
NJD000632240	Cylinder Maintenance Corp.	Kearny
NJD000692350	PNC Inc.	Mutley
NJD000692467	Interchemical Petroleum Corp- Eastern Inc.	Little Ferry
NJDC00694307	Quanta Resources Corporation	Edgewater
NJD000765123	Polarome Manufacturing Co., Inc.	Newark
NJD000818518	Ames Rubber Corp. Vantage Plant	Wantage
NJD001394089	Synkote Paint Company	Elmwood Park
NJD001915800	James J. Keating Inc.	Perth Amboy
NJD002008118	H & S Chemical Company Inc.	Wallington
NJD002141711	John L. Armitage & Co.	Newark
NJD002141950	CP Chemicals Inc.	Sewaren
NJD002147643	Precision Resistor Co., Inc.	Hillside
NJD002160471	Excel Products Co., Inc.	New Brunswick
NJD002177640	C-D I Dispersions	Newark
NJD002193001	Johanson Manufacturing Corp.	Boonton
NJD002200913	John B. Moore Corporation	South Amboy
NJD002327963	Materials Elec Pids Corp.	Trenton
NJD002344190	United States Bronze Powders	Flemington
NJD002349751	Struthers-Dunn, Inc.	Fitman
NJD002385664	Vineland Chemical	Vineland
NJD002389468	Ames Rubber Corp. Hamburg Plant	Hamburg

<u>EPA I.D. No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>
NJDOC2457174	GMC New Departure Hyatt Bearings Clark	Clark
NJDOC2482545	Viking Yacht Company	New Gretna
NJDC02561652	Amox Specialty Metals	Florham Park
NJDO02561868	Drew University	Madison
NJDO11728656	Keystone Metal Finishers, Inc.	Secaucus
NJDC12888525	Middletown Leather Co., Inc.	Hackettstown
NJDC44081222	Hummel Chemical Company	South Plainfield
NJDC44638935	Arsynco, Inc.	Carlstadt
NJDC46351268	Sandvik, Inc.	Fair Lawn
NJDC49644438	Diamond Aerosol Corporation	Glen Gardner
NJDO61347860	Coult Inc. Industrial Battery Div.	Saddle Brook
NJDO67362087	Lilly Industrial Coatings, Inc.	Paulsboro
NJDO67484923	H L Beth Ltd.	Perth Amboy
NJDO68292648	Standard Tank Cleaning Corp.	Bayonne
NJDO76056234	BEE Chemical Services, Inc.	Pedricktown
NJDO77091569	Associated Packaging, Inc.	Hurffville
NJDO77549772	General Marine Transport Corp.	Bayonne
NJDC80602568	Food Building & Construction Co. Inc.	Kearny
NJDC81394741	Valumar Processing Corp. of N.J.	Sayreville
NJDO87280038	Ideal Plating & Polishing Co., Inc.	Belleville
NJDO93846301	Custom Chemicals Company	Elmwood Park
NJDO94960333	Presto, Incorporated	Newark
NJDO96876438	Cress Chemical Company	Newark
NJDO98162704	San Juan International	Trenton

<u>EPA I.D. No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>
NJD930526693	IT Corporation	Edison
NJD980526867	Shielding Technology	Piscataway
NJD980535959	Marko Engraving & Art Corp.	Fairview
NJD980594022	E.L. Beth Ltd.	Edison
NJD980642888	Keibro, Inc.	Camden
NJD991304148	Viking Terminal Company	Sayreville
NJT000028134	Barone Barrel & Drum Company	Paterson
NJT350011144	Exxon Bayonne Plant	Bayonne
NJT350014585	Campbell Foundry Company	Kearny

N.J. Facilities Which Submitted  
Liability Insurance Only  
(total -- 28)

<u>EPA I.D. No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>
NJD000304732	Becton Dickinson & Company	E. Rutherford
NJD000310417	Grow Group Inc. - Devco Marine Coatings Co.	Pennsauken
NJD000313477	MAFPI Trucking Corp.	Old Bridge Township
NJD000831061	Princeton Circuit Boards, Inc.	Trenton
NJDC01392670	Hermite Div. Mardet Industries Inc.	Carlstadt
NJDC01399013	Credite Chemical Coatings Inc.	Irvington
NJDC02157313	Rosson Metals Corporation	Newark
NJ0002139145	Flint Ink Corporation	Lodi
NJDC02151322	Fairmount Chemical Co., Inc.	Newark
NJDC02153067	Fritzsche Dodge & Olcott Inc.	Clifton
NJDC02153443	Cessna Aircraft	Boonton
NJDC02395382	Ingersoll-Rand Company	Phillipsburg
NJDC02458342	Sun Chemical Corporation Pigments Div.	Newark
NJDC02491116	Deptford Plating Company	Deptford
NJDC011394467	Standard T Chemical Co., Inc.	Linden
NJDC042793076	Matheson Division Searle Medical Prods.	East Rutherford
NJDC042797571	Hackensack Medical Center	Hackensack
NJDC044081354	Mellen Chemicals, Inc.	Avenel
NJDC046556486	Kinsley's Landfill, Inc.	Deptford
NJL047354832	Accurate Forming Corp.	Hamburg
NJ0049146563	Bick Paint Manufacturers, Inc.	Jersey City
NJ0019360336	Fin-Bro Inc.	Edison
NJL064981989	B & L Corporation	Newark
NJD079304783	Clay Adams Div. of Becton Dickinson & Co.	Parsippany
NJD080796732	Congoleum Resilient Flooring Div.	Trenton
NJD096873500	Co-Operative Industries	Chester
NJD990753493	Vanguard Research Assoc., Inc.	South Plainfield
NJLC67507368	Westwood Lighting Group, Inc.	Paterson



State of New Jersey  
Department of Environmental Protection and Energy  
Manifest Section  
CN 028, 401 East State Street  
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0028

**"Request to Deactivate EPA ID Number"**

EPA ID No. NJD 095171930

Company Name: COATES SCREEN

Site Address: 180 EAST UNION AVE. E. RUTHERFORD  
(street) (city / town)  
NJ 07072 5E 106B  
(state) (zip code) (lot) (block)

Mailing Address: 631 CENTRAL AVE CARLSTADT  
(street / p.o. box) (city / town)  
NJ 07072  
(state) (zip code)

Company Contact: RONALD KWIECINSKI 201-933-4500 x 1356  
(name) (area code and phone number)

Reasons for deactivating EPA ID No. (Check all appropriate boxes.)

☐ The EPA ID number was obtained for a one time cleanup which is completed.

☒ The site has completed an ECRA cleanup (indicate ECRA Case # 94519).

☐ Other COATES SCREEN NO LONGER OWNS OR  
OCCUPIES THIS SITE. NEW OWNERS

Is the site presently occupied? (circle yes or no)

Sign and date the application below, and retain the last page (pink copy) for your records.

RONALD KWIECINSKI  
(printed name)

[Signature]  
(signature)

REGULATORY MGR.  
(title)

1-13-04  
(date)

Submission of false information is a violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-5.6 and N.J.A.C. 7:26-7.3.

copies: White - Manifest Section  
Yellow - USEPA Region II  
Pink - Applicant

deact.  
1-16-04  
(135)

# Coates Screen

Division of Sun Chemical

631 central Avenue, Carlstadt, NJ 07072 Tel (201) 933-4500 Fax (201) 933-0261

NJDEP  
Manifest Section  
CN 028  
401 East State Street  
Trenton, NJ 08625-0028

RE: EPA ID Number **NJD095171930**

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please find enclosed Form HWR-001 "Request to Deactivate EPA ID Number"

This site is no longer owned or occupied by Coates Screen. To the best of our knowledge, the site is now operated by.

**C & W Unlimited**

**Contact: Mat Berliner**

**Tel Number: 201-933-4343**

**Fax Number: 201-933-5016**

The Yellow copy of form HWR-001 was not sent to the EPA Region II office, on their instructions. They said that they will be notified of the action by the State Agency.

Please contact me at 201-933-4500 ext 1356 if you need additional information.

Yours Sincerely,

Ronald Kwiecinski

Regulatory Manager

Coates Screen

RCRA INSPECTION REVIEW SHEET

(4)

Name of Facility - Colonial Printing Int. Company

RCRA ID# -NJ0095171930

Date of Inspection - 9-28-81

Type of Inspection: Generator

Transporter

TSD

Name of EPA/State Inspector -

Al Iannuzzi

Findings of Inspection:

Facility removes waste within 90 days.

All paper work & storage requirements are complied with.  
accept for Conting. plan to local authorities & test meth. and sample frequency for  
waste analysis plan

Action(s) Taken: none

Action(s) Recommended: None.

JAN 18 2 05 PM '82  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
AGENCY  
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10007



RCRA GENERATOR INSPECTION FORM

COMPANY NAME:

Colonial Printing Ink company

EPA I.D. NUMBER:

NJ 0095171980-

COMPANY ADDRESS:

180 E. Union Ave. E. Rutherford, NJ.

COMPANY CONTACT OR OFFICIAL:

Robin P. Miller

INSPECTOR'S NAME:

Alphonse Iannuzzi, Jr.

TITLE:

Technical Regulatory co-ordinator

BRANCH/ORGANIZATION:

NJDEP

CHECK IF FACILITY IS ALSO A TSD

FACILITY ☒

DATE OF INSPECTION:

9-28-81

YES

NO

DON'T  
KNOW

(1) Is there reason to believe that the facility has hazardous waste on site? X

a. If yes, what leads you to believe it is hazardous waste?  
Check appropriate box:

☒ Company admits that its waste is hazardous during the inspection.

☒ Company admitted the waste is hazardous in its RCRA notification and/or Part A Permit Application.

☐ The waste material is listed in the regulations as a hazardous waste from a nonspecific source (§261.31)

☐ The waste material is listed in the regulations as a hazardous waste from a specific source (§261.32)

☐ The material or product is listed in the regulations as a discarded commercial chemical product (§261.33)

☐ EPA testing has shown characteristics of ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity or extraction procedure toxicity, or has revealed hazardous constituents (please attach analysis report)

☐ Company is unsure but there is reason to believe that waste materials are hazardous. (Explain)



YES	NO	DON'T KNOW
-----	----	---------------

- b. Is there reason to believe that there are hazardous wastes on-site which the company claims are merely products or raw materials?

Please explain:

- c. Identify the hazardous wastes that are on-site, and estimate approximate quantities of each.

① 7 drums, settled pot wash solids, sludge.

② 27 drums (55 gal) waste Ink flammable.

③ 16 drums (55 gal) waste flammable solvent

④ 5 drums (55 gal) dry pigment waste from dust collector

- d. Describe the activities that result in the generation of hazardous waste.

① scrapping rollers, washing mixing tubs,

② cleaning of mixing tanks with solvents

③ pigments collected by air pollution control device (dust collector).

④ roller wash with solvents.

- (2) Is hazardous waste stored on site?

- a. What is the longest period that it has been accumulated?

Robin Miller stated that it is not accumulated for > 90 days.

- b. Is the date when drums were placed in storage marked on each drum?

- (3) Has hazardous waste been shipped from this facility since November 19, 1980?

- a. If "yes," approximately how many shipments were made?

eleven (11)

- (4) Approximately how many hazardous waste shipments off site have been made since November 19, 1980?

11

- a. Does it appear from the available information that there is a manifest copy available for each hazardous waste shipment that has been made?

- b. If "no" or "don't know," please elaborate.

<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>DON'T KNOW</u>
------------	-----------	-----------------------

c. Does each manifest (or a representative sample) have the following information?

- a manifest document number
- the generator's name, mailing address, telephone number, and EPA identification number
- the name, and EPA identification number of each transporter
- the name, address and EPA identification number of the designated facility and an alternate facility, if any:
- a description of the wastes (DOT)
- the total quantity of each hazardous waste by units of weight or volume, and the type and number of containers as loaded into or onto the transport vehicle
- a certification that the materials are properly classified, described, packaged, marked, and labeled, and are in proper condition for transportation under regulations of the Department of Transportation and the EPA

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

(5) Were there any hazardous wastes stored on site at the time of the inspection?

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

a. If "yes," do they appear properly packaged (if in containers) or, if in tanks, are the tanks secure?

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

b. If not properly packaged or in secure tanks, please explain.

c. Are containers clearly marked and labelled?

<u>X</u>	—	—
----------	---	---

d. Do any containers appear to be leaking?

—	<u>X</u>	—
---	----------	---

e. If "yes," approximately how many?

\*(6) Has the generator submitted an annual report to EPA covering the previous calendar year? *N/A*

a. How do you know?

(7) Has the generator received signed copies (from the TSD *don't have part B receipt* facility) of all manifests for wastes shipped off site *for 2 manifests have* more than 35 days ago? *Copy of manifest* ~~XX~~

a. If "no," have Exception Reports been submitted to EPA covering these shipments?

*Exception reports not necessary, facility has copies of entire manifest from TSD for 2 shipments where part B was not sent.*

(8) General comments.

*Colonial Printing Ink Company produces specialty silk screen printing inks and related products. Colonial does all its manufacturing on site and has a warehouse for on Edison ave. in a separate building.*

*wastes listed on notification of 4002, 4107, 4159, 4220, and 4239 are not actual wastes produced but ~~rather~~ raw products. wastes produced are listed as K086. Pot wash <sup>and k086</sup> <sup>settled</sup> sludge (supernate goes to sanitary sewer), dust collector pigments, compound paint reducing material (a flamm. solvent that is reclaimed at SRS Linden, NJ), and tube and mill pinning with flamm. solvent (is disposed of at S&W waste S. Kearny with other wastes), are wastes produced.*

*Oil soaked soil, <sup>gravel</sup> of approx. 12' x 3' and 10' x 4' areas were noted next to raw product tank farm. Domestic garbage (ie. paper, cans) were noted along Berry's Creek bank and some spilled inks on asphalt were noted next to domestic garbage roll off. Mr. Halter, Prod. Supervisor, stated that oil soaked gravel will be cleaned up, and placed into drums to be removed by S&W, within 1 week. The domestic paper and <sup>small</sup> amounts of ink sludges will also be cleaned up.*

*Prior to use of SRS and S&W as disposal facilities Colonial used All County service warwick, NY in 1978 and part of 1979 [A 109681 (5-78) A-107460 (10-78)].*

\* The effective date for this requirement is March 1, 1982.

RCRA TREATMENT, STORAGE AND DISPOSAL FACILITY INSPECTION FORM  
FOR TSD FACILITIES ONLY

COMPANY NAME:

EPA I.D. Number:

Colonial Printing Ink Company : NJ0095171930

COMPANY ADDRESS:

186 E. Union Ave. East Rutherford, NJ

COMPANY CONTACT OR OFFICIAL:

OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS HELD

Robin Miller

BY FACILITY: ☐ NPDES

TITLE:

Technical Regulatory co-ordinator

☒ AIR NJOEP - dust collector / mill exhaust systems

☐ OTHER do discharge to sewer system, do not have permit to do so, permit probably is not required

INSPECTOR'S NAME:

Alphonse Iannuzzi Jr.

DATE OF INSPECTION:

9-28-81

BRANCH/ORGANIZATION:

NJOEP

TIME OF DAY INSPECTION TOOK PLACE:

0900 hrs.

(1) Is there reason to believe that the facility has hazardous waste on site?

a. If yes, what leads you to believe it is hazardous waste?  
Check appropriate box:

☒ Company admits that its waste is hazardous during the inspection.

☒ Company admitted the waste is hazardous in its RCRA notification and/or Part A Permit Application.

☐ The waste material is listed in the regulations as a hazardous waste from a nonspecific source (§261.31)

☐ The waste material is listed in the regulations as a hazardous waste from a specific source (§261.32)

☐ The material or product is listed in the regulations as a discarded commercial chemical product (§261.33)

☐ EPA testing has shown characteristics of ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity or extraction procedure toxicity, or has revealed hazardous constituents (please attach analysis report)

☐ Company is unsure but there is reason to believe that waste materials are hazardous. (Explain)

b. Is there reason to believe that there are hazardous wastes on-site which the company claims are merely products or raw materials?

YES NO DON'T  
KNOW

— X —

Please explain:

c. Identify the hazardous wastes that are on-site, and estimate approximate quantities of each.

(1) 7 (55 gal) drums pot wash sludge

(2) 27 drums (55 gal) waste flamm. Int.

(3) 16 drums (55 gal) waste flamm. solvent

(4) 5 drums (55 gal) dry pigment waste from dust collector.

(2) Does the facility generate hazardous waste?

X — —

(3) Does the facility transport hazardous waste?

— X —

(4) Does the facility treat, store or dispose of hazardous waste?

— X —

facility registered as storer due to possibility of storing > 90 days but has not done so.



VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>DON'T KNOW</u>
(5) <u>SITE SECURITY</u> (§265.14)			
a. Is there a 24-hour surveillance system?	—	<u>X</u>	—
b. Is there a suitable barrier which completely surrounds the active portion of the facility?	<u>X</u>	—	—
c. Are there "Danger-Unauthorized Personnel Keep Out" signs posted at each entrance to the facility?	—	<u>X</u>	—
			<i>Will have these signs posted within 1 week.</i>
(6) Are there ignitable, reactive or incompatible wastes on site? (§265.27)	<u>X</u>	—	—
a. If "YES", what are the approximate quantities?			
			<i>43 drums (55 gal capacity).</i>
b. If "YES", have precautions been taken to prevent accidental ignition or reaction of ignitable or reactive waste?	<u>X</u>	—	—
c. If "YES", explain			
			<i>Separated from other wastes, no smoking signs posted, drums stored outside of building</i>
d. In your opinion, are proper precautions taken so that these wastes do not:			
- generate extreme heat or pressure, fire or explosion, or violent reaction?	<u>X</u>	—	—
- produce uncontrolled toxic mists, fumes, dusts, or gases in sufficient quantities to threaten human health?	<u>X</u>	—	—
- produce uncontrolled flammable fumes or gases in sufficient quantities to pose a risk of fire or explosions?	<u>X</u>	—	—
- damage the structural integrity of the device or facility containing the waste?	<u>X</u>	—	—
- threaten human health or the environment?	<u>X</u>	—	—

Please explain your answers, and comment if necessary.

e. Are there any additional precautions which you would recommend to improve hazardous waste handling procedures at the facility?

(7) Does the facility comply with preparedness and prevention requirements including maintaining: (§265.32)

YES	NO	DON'T KNOW
-----	----	------------

- an internal communications or alarm system? ☒ ☐ ☐
- a telephone or other device to summon emergency assistance from local authorities? ☒ ☐ ☐
- portable fire equipment? ☒ ☐ ☐
- adequate aisle space? ☒ ☐ ☐
- in your opinion, do the types of wastes on site require all of the above procedures, or are some not needed? Explain. ☒ ☐ ☐

*all are needed*

In your opinion, do the types of wastes on site require all of the above procedures, or are some not needed? Explain.

- \* (8) Have you inspected to verify that the groundwater monitoring wells (if any) mentioned in the facility's groundwater monitoring plan (see no. 19 below) are properly installed? *N/A* ☐ ☐ ☐

If you have, please comment, as appropriate.

- (9) a. Is there any reason to believe that groundwater contamination already exists from this facility? ☐ ☐ ☒  
 If "YES", explain. *possibly slight contamination of ground water from oil spills on soil-gravel from crank cases of trucks next to tank farm.*
- b. Do you believe that operation of this facility may affect groundwater quality? ☐ ☒ ☐
- c. If "YES", explain.

#### RECORDS INSPECTION

- (10) Has the facility received hazardous waste from an off-site source since Nov. 19, 1980 (effective date of the regulations)? ☐ ☒ ☐
- a. If "YES", does it appear that the facility has a copy of a manifest for each hazardous waste load received? *N/A* ☐ ☐ ☐
- b. How many post-November 19 manifests does it have? (If the number is large, you may estimate) *N/A* ☐ ☐ ☐
- c. Does each manifest (or a representative sample) have the following information? *N/A* ☐ ☐ ☐
- a manifest document number ☐ ☐ ☐

\* This requirement applies only after November 19, 1981.

	YES	NO	DON'T KNOW	
- the generator's name, mailing address, telephone number, and EPA identification number	—	—	—	only manifest for Gen. Waste
- the name, and EPA identification number of each transporter	—	—	—	
- the name, address and EPA identification number of the designated facility and an alternate facility, if any;	—	—	—	
- a DOT description of the wastes	—	—	—	
- the total quantity of each hazardous waste by units of weight or volume, and the type and number of containers as loaded into or onto the transport vehicle	—	—	—	
- a certification that the materials are properly classified, described, packaged, marked, and labeled, and are in proper condition for transportation under regulations of the Department of Transportation and the EPA	—	—	—	
d. Are there any indications that unmanifested hazardous wastes have been received since November 19, 1980? If YES, explain.	—	—	—	N/A
(11) Does the facility have a written waste analysis plan specifying (test methods, sampling methods) and sampling frequency? (\$265.13)				
analysis plan but do not have test meth. sample freq.				
a. Does the character of wastes handled at the facility change from day to day, week to week, etc., thus requiring frequent testing? (You may check more than one)				
Waste characteristics vary _____				
All wastes are basically the same <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Company treats all waste as hazardous _____				
Don't Know _____				
b. Does hazardous waste come to this facility from off-site sources?	—	X	—	
c. If waste comes from an off-site source, are there procedures in the plan to insure that wastes received conform to the accompanying manifest?	—	—	—	N/A
(12) <u>INSPECTIONS</u> (\$265.15)				
a. Does the facility have a written inspection schedule?	X	—	—	
b. Does the schedule identify the types of problems to be looked for and the frequency for inspections?	X	—	—	
c. Does the owner/operator record inspections in a log?	X	—	—	have kept log since 6-81 only
d. Is there evidence that problems reported in the inspection log have not been remedied? If "YES," please explain.	—	X	—	

(13) PERSONNEL TRAINING (§265.16)

a. Is there written documentation of the following:

- job title for each position at the facility related to hazardous waste management and the name of the employee filling each job? X — —

- type and amount of training to be given to personnel in jobs related to hazardous waste management? X — —

- actual training or experience received by personnel? X — —

*Written documentation  
for sitting training  
film, mostly on  
the job training.*

(14) Does the facility have a written contingency plan for emergency procedures designed to deal with fires, explosion or any unplanned release of hazardous waste? X — —  
(§265.51)

a. Does the plan describe arrangements made with local authorities? X — —

b. Has the contingency plan been submitted to local authorities? X X —

How do you know?

*Registered mail receipt of 'description of waste type'  
to Hackensack hospital and E. Rutherford fire & police depts. only*

c. Does the plan list names, addresses, and phone numbers of Emergency Coordinators? X — —

d. Does the plan have a list of what emergency equipment is available? X — —

e. Is there a provision for evacuating facility personnel? X — —

f. Was an Emergency Coordinator present or on call at the time of the inspection? X — —

(15) Does the owner/operator keep a written operating record with: (§265.73)

- a description of wastes received with methods and dates of treatment, storage or disposal? N/A — —

- location and quantity of each waste? X — —

- detailed records and results of waste analysis and treatability tests performed on wastes coming into the facility? N/A — —

- detailed operating summary reports and description of all emergency incidents that required the implementation of the facility contingency plan? — X —

*have map  
for designated  
areas, however,  
all waste is stored  
in one area.*

*plan never had  
to be implemented*

\*(16) Does the facility have written closure and post-closure plans? (§265.110) X — —

a. Does the written closure plan include:

- a description of how and when the facility will be partially (if applicable) and ultimately closed? N/A — —



- an estimate of the maximum inventory of wastes in storage or treatment at any time during the life of the facility? X — —
- a description of the steps necessary to decontaminate facility equipment during closure? X — —
- a schedule for final closure including the anticipated date when wastes will no longer be received and when final closure will be completed? X — —
- b. What is the anticipated date for final closure? N/A — — —
- tc. Does the owner/operator have a written post-closure plan identifying the activities which will be carried on after closure and the frequency of these activities? N/A — — —
- d. Does the written post-closure plan include:
  - a description of planned groundwater monitoring activities and their frequencies during post-closure? — — —
  - a description of planned maintenance activities and frequencies to ensure integrity of final cover during post-closure? — — —
  - the name, address and phone number of a person or office to contact during post-closure? — — —
- \*(17) Does the owner/operator have a written estimate of the cost of closing the facility? (\$265.142) What is it? \$ 16,6000 X — —
- \*(18) Does the owner/operator have a written estimate of the cost for post-closure monitoring and maintenance? N/A What is it? (\$265.144) — — —
- \*(19) Has a groundwater monitoring plan been submitted to the Regional Administrator for facilities containing a surface impoundment, landfill or land treatment process? (This requirement does not apply to recycling facilities.) (\$265.90) — — —
  - a. Does the plan indicate that at least one monitoring well has been installed hydraulically upgradient from the limit of the waste management area? — — —
  - b. Does the plan indicate that there are at least three monitoring wells installed hydraulically downgradient at the limit of the waste management area? — — —

† This section applies only to disposal facilities.

\* Effective date for this requirement is May 19, 1981.

SITE-SPECIFIC

Please circle all appropriate activities and answer questions on indicated pages for all activities circled. When you submit your report, include only those site-specific pages that you have used.

<u>STORAGE</u>	<u>TREATMENT</u>	<u>DISPOSAL</u>
Waste Pile p. 9	Tank p. 8	Landfill pp. 10-11
Surface Impoundment p. 8	Surface Impoundment pp. 8-9	Land Treatment pp. 9, 10
<u>Container p. 7</u>	Incineration pp. 12-13	Surface Impoundment p. 8
Tank, above ground p. 8	Thermal Treatment pp. 12-13	Other _____
Tank, below ground p. 8	Land Treatment pp. 9-10	
Other _____	Chemical, Physical p. 13 and Biological Treatment (other than in tanks, surface impoundment or land treatment facilities)	<u>YES</u> <u>NO</u> <u>DON'T KNOW</u>
	Other _____	

CONTAINERS (\$265.170)

- Are there any leaking containers?  
If "YES", explain.        X
- Are there any containers which appear in danger of leaking?  
If "YES", explain.        X
- Do wastes appear compatible with container materials?        X
- Are all containers closed except those in use?        X
- Do containers appear to be opened, handled or stored in a manner which may rupture the containers or cause them to leak?             X
- How often does the plant manager claim to inspect container storage areas?      Weekly
- Does it appear that incompatible wastes are being stored in close proximity to one another?  
If "YES", explain.             X
- Are containers holding ignitable or reactive wastes located at least 15 meters (50 feet) from the facility's property line?        X
- What is the approximate number and size of containers with hazardous wastes?

55 drums with 55 gal. capacity

- |   | <u>TANKS (\$265.190)</u> | <u>YES</u> | <u>NO</u> | <u>DON'T<br/>KNOW</u> |
|---|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| 1. Are there any leaking tanks?<br>If "YES", explain.   |                          | —          | —         | —                     |
| 2. Are there any tanks which appear in danger of<br>leaking.<br>If "YES", explain.  |                          | —          | —         | —                     |
| 3. Are wastes or treatment reagents being<br>placed in tanks which could cause them to<br>rupture, leak, corrode or otherwise fail?<br>If "YES", explain. |                          | —          | —         | —                     |
| 4. Do uncovered tanks have at least 2 feet<br>of freeboard or an adequate containment<br>structure?   |                          | —          | —         | —                     |
| 5. Where hazardous waste is continuously<br>fed into a tank, is the tank equipped with<br>a means to stop this inflow?                                    |                          | —          | —         | —                     |
| 6. Does it appear that incompatible wastes<br>are being stored in close proximity to one<br>another, or in the same tank?<br>If "YES", explain.           |                          | —          | —         | —                     |
| 7. How often does the plant manager claim to<br>inspect container storage areas?  |                          |            |           |                       |
| 8. Are ignitable or reactive wastes stored in<br>a manner which protects them from a source<br>of ignition or reaction?<br>If "YES", explain.             |                          | —          | —         | —                     |
| 9. What is the approximate number and size of<br>tanks containing hazardous wastes?   |                          |            |           |                       |

SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS (\$265.220)

- |  |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|
| 1. Is there at least 2 feet of freeboard<br>in the impoundment?  | — | — | — |
| 2. Do all earthen dikes have a protective<br>cover to preserve their structural integrity?<br>If "YES", specify type of covering.    | — | — | — |
| 3. Is there reason to believe that incompatible<br>wastes are being placed in the same surface<br>impoundment?<br>If "YES", explain. | — | — | — |





NJD095171930

Robin

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

RCRA GENERATOR INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Generator's Name: COLONIAL PRINTING INK CO.

EPA I.D. #: NJ095171930

Generator's Address: 180 EAST UNION AVE.  
E. RUTHERFORD, N.J.

Contact: ROBIN MILLER  
TECHNICAL COORDINATOR

- |   | YES | NO  |
|---|-----|-----|
| 1. Does generator have an EPA I.D. number?                | (✓) | ( ) |
| 2. Does generator store material on-site?                 | (✓) | ( ) |
| 3. Is waste accumulated for more than 90 days?            | ( ) | (✓) |
| 4. Does generator manifest waste?                         | (✓) | ( ) |
| 5. Does manifest show following information:              |     |     |
| a. Name, address, I.D. of generator                       | (✓) | ( ) |
| b. Name, address, I.D. of transporter                     | (✓) | ( ) |
| c. Name, address, I.D. of designated facility             | (✓) | ( ) |
| d. Name, of alternative facility                          | ( ) | (✓) |
| e. DOT waste description                                  | (✓) | ( ) |
| f. Quantity of waste-volume, weight, number of containers | (✓) | ( ) |
| g. Signed certification statement                         | (✓) | ( ) |
| 6. Does generator maintain manifest records?              | (✓) | ( ) |

7. General Comments:

• APPLIED FOR PERMIT IN CASE WASTE IS ON SITE > 90 DAYS

• ONLY ONE WASTE SHIPMENT SINCE NOV. 19<sup>th</sup> - K086 WASTE, CHECKED MANIFEST

# NJ-0000199 - OK

NEW YORK, N.Y. 10007  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
JAN 23 11 57 AM '81  
PERMITS SECTION  
HONOLULU BRANCH

Inspected By: RON TESTA (WA-SWB)  
BRIAN MORAN

Date: JAN 20, 1981

INSPECTED BY: RON TESTA  
DATE: JAN 20, 1981

RCRA TREATMENT, STORAGE AND DISPOSAL FACILITY INSPECTION FORM

COMPANY NAME: COLONIAL PRINTING INK

EPA I.D. Number:

NJD095171930

COMPANY ADDRESS:

180 EAST UNION AVE, EAST RUTHERFORD, N.J.

COMPANY CONTACT OR OFFICIAL:

MS. ROBIN MILLER

OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS HELD

BY FACILITY: ☐ NPDES

TITLE:

TECHNICAL REGULATORY COORDINATOR

☐ AIR

☐ OTHER

(1) Is there reason to believe that the facility has hazardous waste on site?

a. If yes, what leads you to believe it is hazardous waste?  
Check appropriate box:

☒ Company admits that its waste is hazardous during the inspection.

☐ Company admitted the waste is hazardous in its RCRA notification and/or Part A Permit Application.

☐ Company is unsure but there is reason to believe that waste materials are hazardous. (Explain)

☐ The waste material is listed in the regulations as a hazardous waste from a nonspecific source (§261.31)

☒ The waste material is listed in the regulations as a hazardous waste from a specific source (§261.32)

☐ K086, K082  
The material or product is listed in the regulations as a discarded commercial chemical product (§261.33)

☐ EPA testing has shown characteristic of ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity or extraction procedure toxicity, or has revealed hazardous constituents (please attach analysis report)



- b. Is there reason to believe that there are hazardous wastes on-site which the company claims are merely products or raw materials? Please explain:

NO, ALL WASTES STORED IN ONE AREA

- c. Identify the hazardous wastes that are on-site, and estimate approximate quantities of each.

K082 - 10 30 GAL DRUMS (AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DUST)  
K086 - 80 DRUMS @ 55 gal/ea. (INK SLUDGE)

	YES	NO	DON'T KNOW
(2) Does the facility <u>generate</u> hazardous waste?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) Does the facility <u>transport</u> hazardous waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) Does the facility <u>treat</u> , <u>store</u> or <u>dispose</u> of hazardous waste? CURRENTLY STORE < 90 DAYS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(5) Has the facility received hazardous waste from an off-site source since Nov. 19, 1980 (effective date of the regulations)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. If yes, does the facility have a copy of a manifest for each hazardous waste load <del>received</del> ? <u>shipped off site</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>DON'T KNOW</u>
- a manifest document number	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
- the generator's name, mailing address, telephone number, and EPA identification number	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
- the name, and EPA identification number of each transporter	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
- the name, address and EPA identification number of the designated facility and an alternate facility, if any;	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
- a description of the wastes	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
- the total quantity of each hazardous waste by units of weight or volume, and the type and number of containers as loaded into or onto the transport vehicle	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
- a certification that the materials are properly classified, described, packaged, marked, and labeled, and are in proper condition for transportation under regulations of the Department of Transportation and the EPA	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
c. Are there any indications that unmanifested hazardous wastes have been received since November 19, 1980? If yes explain	<u>      </u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>

(6) Does the facility have a written waste analysis plan specifying test methods, sampling methods and sampling frequency?

(\$265.13)

• COMPANIES WASTE IS ANALYSED BY A CONSULTANT (BURNS & ROE)

• WASTE ANALYSIS PLAN WILL BE NEEDED IF WASTE STORED > 90 DAYS.

       ✓

- a. Does the character of wastes handled at the facility change from day to day, week to week, etc., thus requiring frequent testing?  
(You may check more than one)

Waste characteristics vary \_\_\_\_\_

All waste are basically the same ✓

Company treats all waste as hazardous \_\_\_\_\_

Don't know \_\_\_\_\_

<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>DON'T KNOW</u>
------------	-----------	-----------------------

- b. Does hazardous waste come to this facility from off-site sources?

_____	<u>✓</u>	_____
-------	----------	-------

- c. If waste comes from an off-site source, are there procedures in the plan to insure that wastes received conform to the accompanying manifest?

_____	<u>N/A</u>	_____
-------	------------	-------

(7) SITE SECURITY (\$265.14)

- a. Is there a 24 hour surveillance system?

_____	<u>✓</u>	_____
-------	----------	-------

- b. Is there a barrier which completely surrounds the active portion of the facility?

<u>✓</u>	_____	_____
----------	-------	-------

- c. Are there "Danger-Unauthorized Personnel Keep Out" signs posted at each entrance to the facility?

<u>✓</u>	_____	_____
----------	-------	-------

		YES	NO	DON'T KNOW
(8)	<u>INSPECTIONS</u> (\$265.15)			
a.	Does the facility have a written inspection schedule?	✓	—	—
b.	Does the schedule identify the types of problems inspected and the frequency for inspections?	✓	—	—
c.	Does the owner/operator record inspections in a log?	✓	—	—
(9)	<u>PERSONNEL TRAINING</u> (\$265.16)			
a.	Is there written documentation of the following:			
-	job title for each position at the facility related to hazardous waste management and the name of the employee filling each job?	✓	—	—
-	type and amount of training to be given to personnel in jobs related to hazardous waste management?	✓	—	—
-	actual training or experience received by personnel. <i>CLAIMS THAT THIS IS BEING WRITTEN NOW (IN TYPING)</i>	—	—	✓
(10)	Are there ignitable, reactive or incompatible wastes on site? (\$265.27)	✓	—	—
a.	If yes, have precautions been taken to prevent accidental ignition or reaction of ignitable or reactive waste?	✓	—	—
b.	If YES, explain			



c. Are proper precautions taken so that these wastes do not:

	YES	NO	DON'T KNOW
- generate extreme heat or pressure, fire or explosion, or violent reaction?	—	—	✓
- produce uncontrolled toxic mists, fumes, dusts, or gases in sufficient quantities to threaten human health?	—	—	✓
- produce uncontrolled flammable fumes or gases in sufficient quantities to pose a risk of fire or explosions?	—	—	✓
- damage the structural integrity of the device or facility containing the waste?	—	—	✓
- threaten human health or the environment?	—	—	✓

Please explain your answers, if any are "NO".

d. Are there any additional precautions which you would recommend to improve hazardous waste handling procedures at the facility?

— ✓ —

(11) Does the facility comply with preparedness and prevention requirements including maintaining: (§265.32)

- an internal communications or alarm system? ✓
- a telephone or other device to summon emergency assistance from local authorities? ✓
- portable fire equipment? ✓
- adequate aisle space? ✓

— — —  
— — —  
— — —  
— — —

(12) Does the facility have a written contingency plan for emergency procedures designed to deal with fires, explosion or any unplanned release of hazardous waste? (§265.51)

✓ — —

a. Does the plan describe arrangements made with local authorities?

✓ — —

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>DON'T KNOW</u>
b. Has the contingency plan been submitted to local authorities?	<u>✓</u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
How do you know?			
c. Does the plan list names, addresses, and phone numbers of Emergency Coordinators?	<u>✓</u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
d. Does the plan have a list of what emergency equipment is available?	<u>✓</u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
e. Is there a provision for evacuating facility personnel?	<u>✓</u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
f. Was an Emergency Coordinator present or on call at the time of the inspection?	<u>✓</u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
(13) Does the owner/operator keep a written operating record with: (§265.73)			
- a description of wastes received with methods and dates of treatment, storage or disposal?	<u>✓</u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
- location and quantity of each waste?	<u>✓</u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
*(14) Has a groundwater monitoring plan been submitted to the Regional Administrator for facilities containing a surface impoundment, landfill or land treatment process? (This requirement does not apply to recycling facilities) (§265.90)			
a. Is there at least one monitoring well installed hydraulically upgradient from the limit of the waste management area?	<u>N/A</u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
b. Are there at least three monitoring wells installed hydraulically downgradient at the limit of the waste management area?	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
(15) Is there any reason to believe that groundwater contamination exists from this facility?	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>
(16) Does the facility have written closure and post-closure plan? (§265.110)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>    </u>	<u>    </u>

- |   | <u>YES</u> | <u>NO</u> | <u>DON'T<br/>KNOW</u> |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| a. Is there a description of how and when the facility will be partially or totally closed? | ___        | <u>✓</u>  | ___                   |
| b. Does the written post-closure plan contain details of:                                   |            |           |                       |
| - groundwater monitoring activities?  | ___        | <u>✓</u>  | ___                   |
| - maintenance activities?   | ___        | <u>✓</u>  | ___                   |

- (17) Does the owner/operator have a written estimate of the cost of closing the facility? What is it? (\$265.142)

*NOT YET, BUT THEY ARE AWARE OF  
THE REQUIREMENT AND THE EFFECTIVE DATE.*

- (18) Does the owner/operator have a written estimate of the cost for post-closure monitoring and maintenance? What is it? (\$265.144)

*NO, NOT YET*

SITE-SPECIFIC

TREATMENT, STORAGE AND DISPOSAL ACTIVITY

Please circle all appropriate activities and answer questions on indicate pages for all activities circled:

STORAGE

TREATMENT

DISPOSAL

- |                            |   |                              |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| - Waste Pile pp.13-14      | - Tank p.11   | - Landfill pp.17-18          |
| - Surface Impoundment p.12 | - Surface Impoundment p.12  | - Land Treatment<br>pp.15-16 |
| - Container p.10           | - Incineration pp.18-19   | - Surface Impoundment p.12   |
| - Tank, above ground p.11  | - Thermal Treatment pp. 19-20   | - Other _____                |
| - Tank, below ground p.11  | - Land Treatment pp.15-16   |                              |
|                            | - Chemical, Physical p.21<br>and Biological<br>Treatment (other than<br>in tanks, surface impound-<br>ment or land treatment<br>facilities) |                              |



CONTAINERS (§265.170)

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>DON'T KNOW</u>
1. Are there any leaking containers?	—	✓	—
2. Do wastes appear compatible with container materials?	—	—	✓
3. Are all containers closed except those in use?	✓	—	—
4. Do containers appear to be opened, handled or stored in a manner which may rupture the containers or cause them to leak?	—	✓	—
If "YES", explain.			
5. How often does the plant manager claim to inspect container storage areas?	✓	—	—
DAILY			
6. Does it appear that incompatible wastes are being stored in close proximity to one another?	—	✓	—
If "YES", explain.			
7. Are containers holding ignitable or reactive wastes located at least 15 meters (50 feet) from the facility's property line?	—	✓	—
8. What is the approximate number and size of containers with hazardous wastes?	on site at time of inspection ~ 90 drums @ 55 gal/ea. (80) @ 30 gal/ea. (10)		
9. ARE CONTAINERS <110 gallons in size Marked and Labeled properly?	✓	—	—

TANKS (\$265.190)

NEUTRALIZATION TANK  
(PERMIT BY RULE)

DISCHARGES TO SEWER

<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>DON'T KNOW</u>
------------	-----------	-----------------------

- |   |               |          |               |
|---|---------------|----------|---------------|
| 1. Are there any leaking tanks?   | <u>      </u> | <u>✓</u> | <u>      </u> |
| 2. Are wastes or treatment reagents being placed in tanks which could cause them to rupture, leak, corrode or otherwise fail? | <u>      </u> | <u>✓</u> | <u>      </u> |

If "YES", explain.

- |   |               |               |               |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 3. Do uncovered tanks have at least 2 feet of freeboard or an adequate containment structure?                       | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> |
| 4. Where hazardous waste is continuously fed into a tank, is the tank equipped with a means to stop this inflow?    | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> |
| 5. Does it appear that incompatible wastes are being stored in close proximity to one another, or in the same tank? | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> |

If "YES", explain.

- |   |              |               |               |
|---|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| 6. How often does the plant manager claim to inspect container storage areas?                                     | <u>DAILY</u> | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> |
| 7. Are ignitable or reactive wastes stored in a manner which protects them from a source of ignition or reaction? | <u>N/A</u>   | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> |

Explain.

- |  |               |               |               |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 8. What is the approximate number and size of tanks containing hazardous wastes? | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> | <u>      </u> |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|

1 TANK @ 500 gal.